

Here's a structured audit tool for schools and colleges to assess their readiness for Ofsted inspections. Leaders can use this to self-assess their provision quickly and effectively:

# **Ofsted Readiness Audit Tool**



# 1. Leadership and governance

1.1 Strategic leadership and capacity to improve (track record of improvement or of sustaining high standards)

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas and strong across all the themes in leadership and governance, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reports.
☐ Strong	Leaders' astute understanding of the school's context, strengths and weaknesses informs and underpins their evolving response to priorities. They adjust and adapt actions as part of a responsive and continuous improvement culture.
	Highly effective leadership leads to consistently high standards, particularly for disadvantaged pupils and those



	with special educational needs and/or disabilities (SEND). These standards are sustained over time.				
	Improvements in any areas of weakness are rapid and sustained.				
☐ Secure	Leaders and those responsible for governance have an accurate understanding of the school's context, strengths and weaknesses. This informs the actions they take.				
	Leaders' actions are well-judged and consistently effective. They improve or sustain standards.				
	Leaders' decisions are always in pupils' best interests.				
	Leaders make sure that the school's aims, priorities, vision and values are firmly established.				
	The positive impact of leaders' actions has been sustained over time.				
	Leaders create a culture of continuous improvement. They actively pursue their own professional development, acting as role models for staff.				
☐ Attention needed	Leaders' analysis of the school's strengths or weaknesses lacks precision and/or does not consistently identify the root causes of weakness. Actions to bring about improvement, while focused on the right areas, are imprecise. This makes it difficult for leaders to know how successful their work has been and what further action is needed.				
☐ Causing concern	Leadership and governance are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:				
	<ul> <li>Leaders do not identify and/or prioritise the right issues or take suitable or timely action to deal with them.</li> <li>Leaders do not have a track record of improvement or, since being appointed, have not done everything they could reasonably do to secure improvements.</li> <li>Governors/trustees do not carry out their statutory core functions. Their contribution to the school's</li> </ul>				



	strategic aims is weak. Any breaches of legal responsibilities are serious and have a detrimental impact on pupils.
•	The responsible body does not undertake its statutory duties diligently.
•	Leaders' decisions are not taken in the best interests of pupils. This might include gaming or off-rolling and/or using ineffective, inappropriate and/or unsafe alternative provision.
•	The responsible body is unclear about its statutory duties in relation to leaders' well-being and does not support them appropriately.
•	Staff workload is unsustainable. Bullying and harassment go unnoticed or unchallenged.

Evidence and Reflection:				
Comments:				
Development Advice:				
Comments:				
1				



1.2 Responsible bodies, including employers, trustees and governance (strategic oversight)

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas and strong across all the themes in leadership and governance, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:  • embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.  Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.  Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reports.
□ Strong	Governors'/trustees' contribution to the school's work is consistently strong. They are highly effective at holding leaders to account. The responsible body is highly effective at supporting leaders' well-being.
☐ Secure	The responsible body knows its statutory duties and carries them out effectively.  It supports leaders when they face challenges and monitors their well-being.



	Governors/trustees carry out their statutory core functions effectively to support the school to achieve its strategic aims and establish an inclusive culture and practices.  Governors/trustees support and challenge leaders appropriately. They hold leaders to account effectively, including for the school's support and provision for disadvantaged pupils and pupils with SEND.				
☐ Attention needed	The responsible body is inconsistent in its support for leaders' well-being.  Governors/trustees carry out their statutory duties effectively. However, their support for, and challenge to, leaders do not have enough impact.				
□ Causing concern	<ul> <li>Leadership and governance are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:</li> <li>Leaders do not identify and/or prioritise the right issues or take suitable or timely action to deal with them.</li> <li>Leaders do not have a track record of improvement or, since being appointed, have not done everything they could reasonably do to secure improvements.</li> <li>Governors/trustees do not carry out their statutory core functions. Their contribution to the school's strategic aims is weak. Any breaches of legal responsibilities are serious and have a detrimental impact on pupils.</li> <li>The responsible body does not undertake its statutory duties diligently.</li> <li>Leaders' decisions are not taken in the best interests of pupils. This might include gaming or off-rolling and/or using ineffective, inappropriate and/or unsafe alternative provision.</li> <li>The responsible body is unclear about its statutory duties in relation to leaders' well-being and does not support them appropriately.</li> <li>Staff workload is unsustainable.</li> <li>Bullying and harassment go unnoticed or unchallenged.</li> </ul>				



# Evidence and Reflection: Comments: Development Advice: Comments:



### 1.3 Parents, carers and the community

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas and strong across all the themes in leadership and governance, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:  • embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.  Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.		
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reports.		
□ Strong	Leaders build and sustain strong, long-term partnerships with parents and carers and the local community. This has a strong, positive impact on pupils' achievement and well-being.		
☐ Secure	Leaders engage with and work effectively with parents and carers and the local community to support pupils' achievement and well-being.		
☐ Attention needed	Leaders' engagement with parents and carers and the local community is ad hoc, limited and/or not targeted appropriately. It makes little difference to pupils' achievement and well-being.		



☐ Causing concern	Leadership and governance are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	<ul> <li>Leaders do not identify and/or prioritise the right issues or take suitable or timely action to deal with them.</li> <li>Leaders do not have a track record of improvement or, since being appointed, have not done everything they could reasonably do to secure improvements.</li> <li>Governors/trustees do not carry out their statutory core functions. Their contribution to the school's strategic aims is weak. Any breaches of legal responsibilities are serious and have a detrimental impact on pupils.</li> <li>The responsible body does not undertake its statutory duties diligently.</li> <li>Leaders' decisions are not taken in the best interests of pupils. This might include gaming or off-rolling and/or using ineffective, inappropriate and/or unsafe alternative provision.</li> <li>The responsible body is unclear about its statutory duties in relation to leaders' well-being and does not support them appropriately.</li> <li>Staff workload is unsustainable.</li> <li>Bullying and harassment go unnoticed or unchallenged.</li> </ul>
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	



Development Advice:	
Comments:	



## 1.4 Staff well-being and workload

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas and strong across all the themes in leadership and governance, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reports.
☐ Strong	Leaders make sure that all staff feel highly valued, are supported to do their jobs effectively, and are well protected from bullying and harassment. Consequently, staff morale and retention are high.
☐ Secure	Leaders prioritise staff well-being. They make sure that staff's work is sustainable and proportionate. Leaders protect staff from bullying and harassment.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders' decisions are sometimes burdensome to staff. Although leaders take action to address any bullying and



	harassment of staff, any learning from such incidents is slow or limited.				
☐ Causing concern	<ul> <li>Leadership and governance are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:</li> <li>Leaders do not identify and/or prioritise the right issues or take suitable or timely action to deal with them.</li> <li>Leaders do not have a track record of improvement or, since being appointed, have not done everything they could reasonably do to secure improvements.</li> <li>Governors/trustees do not carry out their statutory</li> </ul>				
	core functions. Their contribution to the school's strategic aims is weak. Any breaches of legal responsibilities are serious and have a detrimental impact on pupils.  • The responsible body does not undertake its statutory duties diligently.  • Leaders' decisions are not taken in the best interests of pupils. This might include gaming or off-rolling and/or using ineffective, inappropriate and/or unsafe alternative provision.  • The responsible body is unclear about its statutory duties in relation to leaders' well-being and does not support them appropriately.  • Staff workload is unsustainable.  • Bullying and harassment go unnoticed or unchallenged.				
Evidence and Reflection:	Evidence and Reflection:				
Comments:					



Development A	Advice:			
Comments:				



## 2. Curriculum

2 1	1 1 -			C	1
Z. I	Leade	ersnip	or the	Curricu	ium

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in curriculum, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.  Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of
	best practice reporting.
□ Strong	The curriculum is expertly designed at each stage to enable pupils to develop secure, deep and fluent knowledge. This paves the way for future learning.
	The curriculum, whether designed, adapted or adopted, has been expertly developed over time to ensure its continued quality, effectiveness and, where relevant, subject-specific rigour.



☐ Secure	Leaders make sure that the curriculum is at least as ambitious in breadth and depth as the national curriculum, for all pupils.
	Subject/area curriculums are well designed to build pupils' knowledge and skills sequentially and cumulatively.
	Subject/phase leaders have the expertise and/or support they need to ensure that the curriculum achieves its aims.
	Leaders make sure that the curriculum allows enough time for teaching, practising and revisiting content, and for addressing any gaps in pupils' knowledge as quickly as possible.
☐ Attention needed	The curriculum is limited in breadth, depth or ambition in some subjects and/or for some groups of pupils.
	Some subject/phase leaders do not have the expertise, support or time they need to design, adapt or adopt an appropriate curriculum.
	The curriculum in some subjects/areas is not designed effectively to build pupils' knowledge and skills sequentially and cumulatively.
	Teaching, revisiting or practising knowledge and skills are not given enough time.
☐ Causing concern	The curriculum is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	The curriculum lacks ambition, structure or coherence. Leaders have not considered its content and sequencing sufficiently.
	The narrow range of subjects does not prepare pupils for the opportunities, responsibilities and experiences of life in Britain.
	The curriculum lacks ambition for disadvantaged pupils and/or pupils with SEND.

### Evidence and Reflection:



Comments:		
Development Advice:		
Comments:		



## 2.2 Communication and language, reading, writing and mathematics

☐ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in curriculum, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	The school has an expertly designed curriculum, which develops pupils' reading and writing through high-quality texts and increasingly broad vocabulary across all subjects.
☐ Secure	The curriculum extends pupils' language and vocabulary, both in spoken and written form, and increases their reading competency across all subjects.
	For primary-age pupils and for older pupils where necessary, the curriculum prioritises accurate and fluent word reading, spelling, handwriting and mathematics.



☐ Attention needed	The curriculum provides limited opportunity for pupils to develop their reading, language and vocabulary, both in spoken and/or written form, across a range of subjects.  For primary-age pupils and for older pupils where necessary, the curriculum does not place a strong enough emphasis on accurate and fluent word reading, handwriting, spelling and mathematics.
□ Causing concern	The curriculum is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:  The curriculum lacks ambition, structure or coherence. Leaders have not considered its content and sequencing sufficiently.  The narrow range of subjects does not prepare pupils for the opportunities, responsibilities and experiences of life in Britain.  The curriculum lacks ambition for disadvantaged pupils and/or pupils with SEND.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



## 2.3 Inclusive curriculum culture and practices

	VA/Is and a sale and in a survey in all the sale and in a
☐ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in curriculum, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders make sure that all pupils gain the knowledge and skills to prepare them well to access the full curriculum and the next stage of learning.
□ Secure	The curriculum is an entitlement for every pupil. Any adaptations are made carefully to avoid limiting expectations for disadvantaged pupils or pupils with SEND. Leaders take all reasonable steps to make sure that all pupils, including those attending alternative provision and those with SEND, can study an equally ambitious curriculum.
☐ Attention needed	Weaknesses in design and/or poorly considered adaptations limit some pupils' access to a broad and ambitious curriculum.



☐ Causing concern	The curriculum is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	The curriculum lacks ambition, structure or coherence. Leaders have not considered its content and sequencing sufficiently.
	The narrow range of subjects does not prepare pupils for the opportunities, responsibilities and experiences of life in Britain.
	The curriculum lacks ambition for disadvantaged pupils and/or pupils with SEND.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 3. Developing teaching

## 3.1 Leadership of teaching

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in developing teaching, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:  • embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work • being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.  Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders have a nuanced understanding of the quality of teaching across subjects, phases and year groups. Focused actions, with clear milestones and desired outcomes, drive continuous improvements in the quality of teaching.
□ Secure	Leaders have an accurate, informed understanding of the quality of teaching, including for pupils with SEND. This includes the quality of subject or phase teaching and whole-school strengths and areas for development.



☐ Attention needed	Leaders take action to improve the quality of teaching, although this does not always fix the underlying causes of weaknesses. Leaders' actions are overly generic or superficial.
☐ Causing concern	Developing teaching is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have an inaccurate understanding of the quality of teaching.
	Leaders are not doing enough to tackle weaknesses in the education that pupils receive.
	Pupils' experiences in lessons do not help them to learn the planned curriculum effectively. Leaders do not do enough to make sure that teachers develop the expertise to teach the curriculum.
	Teachers' expectations are too low for all pupils or for particular groups of pupils.
	Adaptations do not meet pupils' needs, or they reflect low expectations for particular pupils or groups of pupils.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



## 3.2 Professional development

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in developing teaching, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders have developed a highly effective professional learning culture, in which staff take responsibility for their professional learning and are keen to continually improve their expertise, drawing on the practice of others and on high-quality research evidence.
□ Secure	Leaders have a professional development programme that draws on training, practice and coaching to build and sustain an effective team of teachers and support staff, including early career teachers, where relevant.



	This professional development programme enables all staff to effectively implement, where relevant:
	<ul> <li>the school's reading (including systematic, synthetic phonics), writing and mathematics curriculums</li> <li>the demands of each subject curriculum</li> <li>the necessary adaptations for some pupils with SEND and for pupils who speak English as an additional language.</li> </ul>
	Where teachers are expected to teach across the full curriculum or outside of their subject specialism, they are supported to build their confidence, expertise and subject knowledge.
	Leaders engage with initial teacher training, the early career framework and national professional qualifications to provide a coherent development pathway for all staff.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders take a generic approach to professional development, which undermines the quality of teaching in particular subjects or in a particular phase.
	There are inconsistencies in the quality of professional development, or it is not targeted well enough to have a sustained positive impact on the quality of teaching.
☐ Causing concern	Developing teaching is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have an inaccurate understanding of the quality of teaching.
	Leaders are not doing enough to tackle weaknesses in the education that pupils receive.
	Pupils' experiences in lessons do not help them to learn the planned curriculum effectively. Leaders do not do enough to make sure that teachers develop the expertise to teach the curriculum.
	Teachers' expectations are too low for all pupils or for particular groups of pupils.



	Adaptations do not meet pupils' needs, or they reflect low expectations for particular pupils or groups of pupils.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 3.3 High-quality teaching

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in developing teaching, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and</li> </ul>
	<ul><li>improve other areas of the school's work</li><li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li></ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Teachers are expert at translating curriculum content into explanations and learning activities. These teaching choices are highly sensitive to, and effective in, the context of the subject or phase.
	Teachers are expert at checking pupils' understanding and adapting their teaching, in the moment, to meet pupils' needs.
□ Secure	Leaders support teachers to have a secure knowledge and understanding of the curriculum(s) they teach and of how pupils learn, so that they make effective decisions.



	Teachers explain new content clearly, connecting new information with what pupils already know and/or introducing new content and concepts in a meaningful context.
	Teachers revisit important content and concepts regularly so that pupils learn them securely and remember them.
	Teachers check pupils' understanding systematically, identifying and remedying any gaps or misconceptions. They give effective feedback that supports pupils to improve.
	Every teacher understands the importance of the language and vocabulary, both spoken and written, specific to the subjects they teach. They explicitly teach these.
☐ Attention needed	Weaknesses in teachers' subject knowledge mean that curriculum content is not taught as effectively as it could be.
	Teachers' explanations and/or the activities they provide do not build on, consolidate or develop pupils' knowledge effectively enough.
	Pupils are not given enough opportunities to revisit important content and concepts.
	Assessment is not precise, so teachers do not know enough about what pupils understand and can do. They then cannot adapt their teaching accordingly.
☐ Causing concern	Developing teaching is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have an inaccurate understanding of the quality of teaching.
	Leaders are not doing enough to tackle weaknesses in the education that pupils receive.
	Pupils' experiences in lessons do not help them to learn the planned curriculum effectively. Leaders do not do enough to make sure that teachers develop the expertise to teach the curriculum.



	Teachers' expectations are too low for all pupils or for particular groups of pupils.  Adaptations do not meet pupils' needs, or they reflect low expectations for particular pupils or groups of pupils.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 3.4 Inclusive teaching

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in developing teaching, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Appropriate and well-judged adaptations help pupils to overcome the most significant barriers to learning, particularly for those who are disadvantaged and/or who have SEND.
	These adaptations effectively enable pupils to learn the curriculum, so that they secure the knowledge and skills they need for future learning.
☐ Secure	Teachers have the expertise and knowledge to make well-judged adaptations to overcome barriers to learning for pupils, particularly those with SEND. Any adaptations do not



	lower expectations for pupils or limit their access to the curriculum unnecessarily.
	Teachers use targeted interventions effectively, in conjunction with high-quality teaching, for pupils who need additional support.
	Staff draw on the advice of specialists, including the special educational needs coordinator, to improve their teaching. This includes identifying the right resources or activities to support accessibility.
	Teachers and support staff are deployed effectively to improve achievement, especially for disadvantaged pupils and pupils with SEND.
☐ Attention needed	Adaptations to teaching are not effective enough in tackling the most significant barriers to learning for pupils. They fail to support pupils' longer-term achievement and progress.
☐ Causing concern	Developing teaching is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have an inaccurate understanding of the quality of teaching.
	Leaders are not doing enough to tackle weaknesses in the education that pupils receive.
	Pupils' experiences in lessons do not help them to learn the planned curriculum effectively. Leaders do not do enough to make sure that teachers develop the expertise to teach the curriculum.
	Teachers' expectations are too low for all pupils or for particular groups of pupils.
	Adaptations do not meet pupils' needs, or they reflect low expectations for particular pupils or groups of pupils.



# Evidence and Reflection: Comments: Development Advice: Comments:



# 4. Achievement in national tests and examinations, where applicable

☐ Well above average	Pupils' attainment in national tests and examinations over time is well above national averages.
	Pupils' progress, as shown in national tests and examinations over time, is well above national averages.
	Over time, the difference between the attainment rate of the school's disadvantaged pupils and those of all non-disadvantaged pupils nationally is far narrower than the difference between the attainment rates of all disadvantaged pupils and those of nondisadvantaged pupils nationally.
☐ Above average	Pupils' attainment in national tests and examinations over time is above national averages.
	Pupils' progress, as shown in national tests and examinations over time, is above national averages.
	Over time, the difference between the attainment rate of the school's disadvantaged pupils and those of all nondisadvantaged pupils nationally is narrower than the difference between the attainment rates of all disadvantaged pupils and those of non-disadvantaged pupils nationally.
☐ Average	Pupils' attainment in national tests and examinations over time is broadly in line with national averages.
	Pupils' progress, as shown in national tests and examinations over time, is broadly in line with national averages.
	Over time, the difference between the attainment rate of the school's disadvantaged pupils and those of all non-disadvantaged pupils nationally is broadly in line with the difference between the attainment rates of all disadvantaged pupils and those of non-disadvantaged pupils nationally.
☐ Below average	Pupils' attainment in national tests and examinations over time is below national averages.
	Pupils' progress, as shown in national tests and examinations over time, is below national averages.



	Over time, the difference between the attainment rate of the school's disadvantaged pupils and those of all nondisadvantaged pupils nationally is wider than the difference between the attainment rates of all disadvantaged pupils and those of non-disadvantaged pupils nationally.
□ Well below average	Pupils' attainment in national tests and examinations over time is well below national averages.
	Pupils' progress, as shown in national tests and examinations over time, is well below national averages.
	Over time, the difference between the attainment rate of the school's disadvantaged pupils and those of all non-disadvantaged pupils nationally is far wider than the difference between the attainment rates of all disadvantaged pupils and those of nondisadvantaged pupils nationally.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 5. Achievement across the curriculum

5.1 Progress through the curriculum

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in achievement, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:  • embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work • being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.  Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.  Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Pupils develop rich knowledge and understanding across subjects, preparing them well for more advanced study.  Disadvantaged pupils and those with SEND achieve strongly across the curriculum, and their achievement is sustained over time.
□ Secure	Leaders make sure that pupils are making secure progress across the curriculum. They understand how well pupils are achieving and why.



	Pupils develop detailed knowledge and skills across the curriculum. This is evident in the quality of work they produce. Any gaps in knowledge or skills are closed quickly.
	Pupils take pride in the quality of work they produce. It is of a consistently high quality and demonstrates progress against the curriculum.
	Disadvantaged pupils achieve at least as well as their peers. Gaps between the achievement of disadvantaged pupils and that of non-disadvantaged pupils are narrowing quickly.
	Pupils with SEND achieve well from their starting points and, where relevant, against their individual targets.
☐ Attention needed	Pupils' attainment and progress are patchy or not as good as they need to be over time.
	Leaders are not analysing the underlying causes of underachievement effectively.
☐ Causing concern	Achievement is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have a poor understanding of weaknesses in pupils' achievement and the reasons for these. They have not tackled weaknesses effectively over time or have not acted swiftly to stem a decline in achievement.
	Pupils lack the foundations of communication, reading, writing or mathematical knowledge. Gaps in knowledge are not tackled quickly or effectively.
	Pupils have not gained the knowledge and skills they need and/or the necessary qualifications (where applicable) to progress to the next stage of education, training or employment.



# Evidence and Reflection: Comments: Development Advice: Comments:



# 5. Achievement across the curriculum

5.2 Pupils have relevant and appropriate knowledge and fluency in reading, writing mathematics, and language and communication

☐ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in achievement, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:  • embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work • being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.  Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.  Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
☐ Strong	Pupils quickly gain the confidence to read across the curriculum to develop their vocabulary and knowledge. This allows them to understand and articulate complex and/or new ideas, both in speech and writing, and to use their knowledge across different areas of the curriculum.
☐ Secure	Pupils have age- and phase appropriate knowledge and skills to progress to the next stage of learning. These include:  • language and communication skills that enable them
	to access the full curriculum



	<ul> <li>accurate and fluent reading</li> <li>compositional skills</li> <li>accurate and fluent spelling</li> <li>legible and fluent handwriting</li> <li>mathematical knowledge</li> </ul> Pupils read with appropriate fluency and comprehension across all subjects. This helps them to develop their vocabulary and knowledge and to express their ideas articulately, both orally and in writing.
☐ Attention needed	Pupils' knowledge and skills in reading, writing, mathematics and language and communication are not as secure as they need to be to support their learning across the curriculum or prepare them for the next steps.
□ Causing concern	Achievement is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:  Leaders have a poor understanding of weaknesses in pupils' achievement and the reasons for these. They have not tackled weaknesses effectively over time or have not acted swiftly to stem a decline in achievement.  Pupils lack the foundations of communication, reading, writing or mathematical knowledge. Gaps in knowledge are not tackled quickly or effectively.  Pupils have not gained the knowledge and skills they need and/or the necessary qualifications (where applicable) to progress to the next stage of education, training or employment.
Evidence and Reflection:  Comments:	



Development Advice:				
Comments:				



### 6. Behaviour and attitudes

6.1 Leadership of behaviour and attitudes ☐ Exemplary Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in behaviour and attitudes, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary. All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is: embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work • being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement. Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally. Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting. ☐ Strong Expert leadership creates a strong, shared culture of positive behaviour. The school establishes strong and supportive relationships with pupils and their parents and carers. These result in positive behaviour and, where it is needed, marked improvements. Leaders' consistently high-quality work to support pupils who are bullied or who may be responsible for bullying contributes to a cohesive and positive environment.



☐ Secure	Leaders establish high expectations for all pupils' behaviour
_ □ Secure	and attitudes to learning, including through the behaviour
	policy. Staff and pupils understand these expectations.
	Leaders engage with and communicate these expectations
	clearly to parents and carers. They are enforced consistently
	and fairly to create a calm and safe environment.
	Leaders and other staff teach pupils the importance of
	treating others with kindness, courtesy, empathy and respect
	and do so themselves. Leaders work closely with parents and
	carers to support positive behaviour.
	Leaders and staff deal effectively and quickly with any
	incidents of bullying, discrimination, harassment, sexual abuse
	and derogatory language and accurately record such
	incidents. They support those affected so that they feel safe
	and comfortable at school.
	Leaders identify patterns and trends in behaviour, including
	bullying. They are active in identifying problems and act
	swiftly and effectively to solve them. Leaders train staff to
	challenge poor behaviour confidently, consistently and fairly,
	including disruptions to learning.
	Strategies to help pupils to manage and improve their
	behaviour are effective. Pupils are taught to recognise
	unacceptable behaviour and are confident to report concerns
	to staff.
☐ Attention needed	Aspects of the school's culture, policy or practice do not
	consistently reflect high expectations for pupils' behaviour.
	Staff are not confident or consistent in challenging poor
	behaviour or disruptions to learning.
	Pupils' behaviour and conduct are inconsistent. This limits
	staff's ability to create a positive learning environment throughout the school.
	Bullying, discrimination harassment and/or sexual abuse, while
	reported and logged, are not dealt with quickly or effectively
	enough.



☐ Causing concern	Behaviour and attitudes are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders do not take effective action to secure pupils' good behaviour and a consistent approach to discipline. Leaders' support for staff to manage behaviour is weak.
	Pupils' lack of engagement and persistent low- and/or high-level wilful disruption contribute to reduced learning and/or disorderly classrooms.
	A significant minority of pupils show a lack of respect for each other and/or staff and a lack of self-discipline. Pupils frequently ignore or refuse teachers' requests to moderate their conduct. This results in poor behaviour.
	Incidents of bullying and/or prejudiced and discriminatory behaviour, both direct and indirect, are frequent.
	Pupils have little confidence in the school's ability to tackle harassment, bullying, violence and/or discriminatory behaviour successfully, because leaders do not take their concerns seriously and/or are too slow to act.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 6. Behaviour and attitudes

6.2 Developing positive attitudes to learning

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in behaviour and attitudes, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.		
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:		
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>		
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.		
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.		
☐ Strong	Pupils are positive about learning. They show this through their self-motivation, cooperation with staff, and collaborative and supportive work with one another.		
	Pupils consistently try to do their best. They show resilience when they face setbacks.		
□ Secure	Staff are systematic in teaching pupils how to approach their learning, and pupils respond with positive attitudes. Their behaviour does not normally disrupt teaching, learning or school routines.		



	Staff take effective but proportionate action to ensure that pupils' behaviour is acceptable for learning.
☐ Attention needed	Pupils are generally compliant in lessons, but they are not engaged well in their learning.
	Pupils lack independence and rely on teachers to direct and motivate them.
☐ Causing concern	Behaviour and attitudes are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders do not take effective action to secure pupils' good behaviour and a consistent approach to discipline. Leaders' support for staff to manage behaviour is weak.
	Pupils' lack of engagement and persistent low- and/or high-level wilful disruption contribute to reduced learning and/or disorderly classrooms.
	A significant minority of pupils show a lack of respect for each other and/or staff and a lack of self-discipline. Pupils frequently ignore or refuse teachers' requests to moderate their conduct. This results in poor behaviour.
	Incidents of bullying and/or prejudiced and discriminatory behaviour, both direct and indirect, are frequent.
	Pupils have little confidence in the school's ability to tackle harassment, bullying, violence and/or discriminatory behaviour successfully, because leaders do not take their concerns seriously and/or are too slow to act.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	



Development Advice:				
Comments:				



# 6. Behaviour and attitudes

6.3 Inclusive behaviour culture, policy and practice

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in behaviour and attitudes, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.		
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:		
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>		
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.		
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.		
□ Strong	The school's behaviour policy, culture and practice have a strong and sustained impact on improving pupils' behaviour and attitudes to learning.		
□ Secure	Leaders and staff understand each pupil's context, needs and challenges when managing behaviour and make reasonable adjustments in the light of these. Any adaptations made maintain high expectations of behaviour.		
	Sanctions, including suspension and exclusion, are used proportionately, effectively and as a last resort to tackle behaviour that does not reflect the school's high expectations.		



	Well-chosen, targeted interventions support pupils who need additional help with their behaviour. The impact is carefully evaluated to allow further adaptations, as needed.
☐ Attention needed	Staff do not always have the necessary expertise, confidence or support to adapt behaviour policies and practice fairly and consistently.
	Adjustments are not always appropriate to pupils' needs.  Targeted interventions for those who need them lack the necessary impact.
☐ Causing concern	Behaviour and attitudes are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders do not take effective action to secure pupils' good behaviour and a consistent approach to discipline. Leaders' support for staff to manage behaviour is weak.
	Pupils' lack of engagement and persistent low- and/or high-level wilful disruption contribute to reduced learning and/or disorderly classrooms.
	A significant minority of pupils show a lack of respect for each other and/or staff and a lack of self-discipline. Pupils frequently ignore or refuse teachers' requests to moderate their conduct. This results in poor behaviour.
	Incidents of bullying and/or prejudiced and discriminatory behaviour, both direct and indirect, are frequent.
	Pupils have little confidence in the school's ability to tackle harassment, bullying, violence and/or discriminatory behaviour successfully, because leaders do not take their concerns seriously and/or are too slow to act.



# Evidence and Reflection: Comments: Development Advice: Comments:



# 7. Attendance

7.1 Strategic leadership to secure the best possible attendance

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in attendance, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders' steps to identify and tackle barriers result in sustained high attendance or rapid and notable improvement, both overall and for individuals and groups.
	All staff have a high-profile role in promoting attendance.  Training and support underpin their supportive but challenging conversations with pupils and families where attendance needs to improve.
☐ Secure	Leaders prioritise improving attendance and punctuality and have an effective strategy in place. Leaders make sure that



	admission and attendance registers are completed accurately.  Day-to-day processes to follow-up absences are effective.
	Leaders analyse attendance information closely, at whole-school level and for different groups, to identify patterns and trends. They use this analysis well to identify the causes of poor attendance, tackle problems and remove barriers.
	All staff understand the importance of paying close attention to pupils' attendance, taking opportunities to promote good attendance, and acting on absence promptly.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders do not identify and/or tackle barriers to attendance well enough, particularly for disadvantaged pupils and those with SEND.
	Some aspects of leaders' work do not support pupils to attend well. Leaders have limited insight into why this is the case.
☐ Causing concern	Attendance is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Strategic leadership of attendance is lacking. Barriers to attendance are not identified or tackled.
	Attendance is consistently low for all pupils or for groups of pupils and shows little sign of sustained improvement.
	The school does not properly monitor pupils' attendance at alternative provision or act when they are absent.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	



Development Advice:					
Comments:					_



# 7. Attendance

7.2 Working in partnership	

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in attendance, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders and staff build and sustain strong relationships with families, other local schools, local authorities and other partners to secure the best possible attendance.
	Over time, these working partnerships have a strong and positive impact on pupils' attendance, particularly that of pupils who do not attend well or have not attended well in the past.
□ Secure	Leaders clearly communicate their expectations of the importance of attendance and punctuality to families. Leaders and staff work collaboratively with families, other local



	schools, local authorities and other partners to improve attendance.	
☐ Attention needed	Leaders' work with families and external partners is limited. Support to improve attendance is inconsistent.	
☐ Causing concern	Attendance is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:  Strategic leadership of attendance is lacking. Barriers to	
	Attendance is consistently low for all pupils or for groups of pupils and shows little sign of sustained improvement.	
	The school does not properly monitor pupils' attendance at alternative provision or act when they are absent.	
Evidence and Reflection:		
Comments:		
Development Advice:		
Comments:		



### 7. Attendance

7.3 Inclusive attendance culture and practices ☐ Exemplary Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in attendance, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary. All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is: embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work • being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement. Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally. Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting. ☐ Strong Leaders pay close attention to every element of the school's work to make sure that the school is a place that pupils want to attend. They make careful adjustments to pupils' provision, when necessary. In the rare instances when part-time timetables are needed, alongside other suitable interventions and support, these have a demonstrable positive impact on improving pupils' attendance. Alternative provision, where used, has a demonstrable positive impact on pupils' attendance and engagement.



☐ Secure	Leaders build a culture of community and belonging, promoting positive relationships and attitudes between teachers, parents and pupils so that every pupil feels valued, safe and understood.  Leaders and staff pay close attention to the needs of pupils
	who are absent because of mental or physical ill-health and/or SEND. They provide them with suitable support to attend when they can, to keep up and to catch up, working closely with families to do so.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders have not prioritised their actions so that they secure the best possible attendance of pupils who find it difficult to attend.
	Part-time timetables are used for longer than necessary or treated as a long-term solution, or plans for returning pupils to full-time education are unclear.
	Leaders are inconsistent in making sure that pupils attend their alternative provision.
☐ Causing concern	Attendance is likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	Strategic leadership of attendance is lacking. Barriers to attendance are not identified or tackled.
	Attendance is consistently low for all pupils or for groups of pupils and shows little sign of sustained improvement.
	The school does not properly monitor pupils' attendance at alternative provision or act when they are absent.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	



Development Advice:				
Comments:				



# 8. Personal development and well-being

8.1 Leadership of personal development and well-being

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in personal development and well-being, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:  • embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work • being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.  Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted
	Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.  Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	The programme of wider opportunities is expertly designed and balanced. It is well suited to the school's context and pupils' needs, ambitions and aspirations. Leaders carefully track access to make sure that these opportunities are enriching, accessible and targeted.
	Pupils participate enthusiastically in a wide range of artistic, musical, sporting and cultural activities. They are proud to represent their school and community.
	Disadvantaged pupils and those with SEND benefit fully.



Careers provision is of high quality, structured and sequenced effectively and fully integrated into the curriculum. It is tailored to the needs, ambitions and aspirations of individuals. Leaders work in continuous partnership with parents and carers, local employers and further and higher education institutions to provide a package of guidance, opportunities and experiences that help pupils decide on their next steps. ☐ Secure Leaders have ensured a broad, engaging and inclusive programme of personal development that spans the core curriculum and extracurricular activities. The programme covers the content of the statutory relationships and sex education/relationships, sex and health education framework. This programme: • develops pupils' character, motivation, confidence and resilience • incorporates pupils' personal, social and health education, including in relation to their mental and physical health • teaches the importance of equality of opportunity and respect for diversity • prepares pupils for the opportunities, decisions, responsibilities and experiences of later life • broadens pupils' experiences and provides opportunities for them to develop their talents and interests in areas such as the arts, music and sport • allows pupils to develop spiritually, morally, socially and culturally Where relevant, there is an appropriate careers programme, which meets the Gatsby Benchmarks. The programme includes: impartial advice and guidance, from well-trained staff opportunities for workplace experiences engagement with employers, colleges, training providers and universities



	Leaders make sure that staff have the necessary knowledge and skills to teach the personal development programme.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders' provision for pupils' personal development and well-being lacks coherence and/or focus.
	While some pupils experience an effective personal development programme, including a range of wider opportunities beyond the taught curriculum, it is not always well matched to the needs, interests and/or ambitions of particular groups of pupils, especially disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND.
	Leaders do not check whether the school's personal development programme has the impact they desire, including whether all pupils are able to benefit from the wider opportunities available.
	The careers programme does not provide pupils with consistent, high-quality guidance and meaningful encounters with the world of work.
	Some pupils do not receive impartial advice and guidance about their potential next steps. Staff are not trained well to teach the careers programme.
☐ Causing concern	Personal development and well-being are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	A significant minority of pupils do not receive a wide, rich set of experiences.
	Disadvantaged pupils or those with SEND miss out on aspects of the school's wider offer.
	Pupils do not receive the pastoral support they need. Leaders and/or governors/trustees undermine or fail to promote equality of opportunity.
	Significant weaknesses in the quality of the school's personal development programme are not identified or addressed.Leaders have created a culture and/or



	environment that places undue pressure on pupils or undermines pupils' emotional health and/or well-being.
	Leaders have not made sure that the curriculum teaches pupils about healthy relationships.
	Leaders do not have an effective careers programme. They fail to use the Gatsby benchmarks to develop and improve careers provision.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 8. Personal development and well-being

8.2 Pastoral support and pupils' well-being

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in personal development and well-being, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.  All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:  • embedded and sustained over time • making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being • being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work • being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.  Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.  Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.	
□ Strong	All staff are active and highly vigilant in supporting and caring for pupils. Relevant staff have the necessary expertise to provide high-quality support and care.  The school draws on strong and sustained relationships with parents and carers, and with external agencies, to provide pupils with the best possible care, guidance and support, especially disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND.	
☐ Secure	Leaders' pastoral support is:	



	<ul> <li>informed by evidence provided in partnership with parents and carers</li> <li>integrated well with external agencies, where appropriate</li> <li>well matched to pupils' needs</li> <li>targeted at pupils who may need extra support, guidance or care</li> <li>Leaders and staff are vigilant about identifying pupils, or particular groups of pupils, who may be vulnerable or need additional support.</li> <li>Pupils understand and are confident in accessing pastoral care when they need it. Leaders listen to, and take account of, pupils' views.</li> </ul>
☐ Attention needed	Pastoral support has limited impact because it is imprecisely targeted and/or not fully matched to pupils' needs.  Leaders' work with external agencies is inconsistent.  Although leaders listen to pupils' views, they are slow to take account of them. Pupils do not always see staff as trusted adults who they can turn to for support.
☐ Causing concern	Personal development and well-being are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:  A significant minority of pupils do not receive a wide, rich set of experiences.  Disadvantaged pupils or those with SEND miss out on aspects of the school's wider offer.  Pupils do not receive the pastoral support they need. Leaders and/or governors/trustees undermine or fail to promote equality of opportunity.  Significant weaknesses in the quality of the school's personal development programme are not identified or addressed. Leaders have created a culture and/or environment that places undue pressure on pupils or undermines pupils' emotional health and/or well-being.



	Leaders have not made sure that the curriculum teaches pupils about healthy relationships.  Leaders do not have an effective careers programme. They fail to use the Gatsby benchmarks to develop and improve careers provision.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 8. Personal development and well-being

8.3 Inclusive opportunities and practices

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in personal development and well-being, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders' personal development and careers programme, including its accessibility and take-up of the wider opportunities afforded to pupils, is expertly designed to meet the needs, ambitions and aspirations of disadvantaged pupils and those with SEND.
	All pupils feel welcome, valued and respected. They feel that they belong within the school community.
	Across the school's work, inclusive practices are strong.



□ Secure	The personal development programme is an entitlement for every pupil. Any adaptations for individual pupils or groups are made carefully to avoid limiting expectations for disadvantaged pupils and/or pupils with SEND.
	Leaders take all reasonable steps to make sure that all pupils, including those attending alternative provision, within or external to the school, and/or those with SEND, can access the same personal development and careers programme.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders' personal development and careers programme, including the wider opportunities afforded to pupils, are not honed to take account of all pupils' needs, ambitions and aspirations, particularly those of disadvantaged pupils and those with SEND.
	Some opportunities and activities may not be fully accessible and/or have limited take-up.
□ Causing concern	Personal development and well-being are likely to be 'causing concern' if one or more of the following applies:
	A significant minority of pupils do not receive a wide, rich set of experiences.
	Disadvantaged pupils or those with SEND miss out on aspects of the school's wider offer.
	Pupils do not receive the pastoral support they need. Leaders and/or governors/trustees undermine or fail to promote equality of opportunity.
	Significant weaknesses in the quality of the school's personal development programme are not identified or addressed. Leaders have created a culture and/or environment that places undue pressure on pupils or
	undermines pupils' emotional health and/or well-being.
	Leaders have not made sure that the curriculum teaches pupils about healthy relationships.



	Leaders do not have an effective careers programme. They fail to use the Gatsby benchmarks to develop and improve careers provision.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 9. Inclusion

9.1 Inclusive practices (across all other toolkits)

□ Exemplary	<ul> <li>Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in inclusion, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.</li> <li>All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is: <ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.</li> <li>Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.</li> </ul>
☐ Strong	Across the school's work, inclusive practices are strong.
☐ Secure	Across the school's work, inclusive practices are secure, so that all pupils feel welcome, valued and a sense of belonging to their school and community.
☐ Attention needed	Aspects of the school's inclusive practices need attention.
☐ Causing concern	Inclusion is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	Across the school's work, leaders fail to meet pupils' needs.



	Inclusive practices do not ensure an acceptable standard of education and/or care for disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND.
	Support for disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND is ineffective.
	Leaders do not identify pupils who are disadvantaged or have SEND, or pupils who may face barriers to their learning and/or well-being.
	Leaders' pupil premium strategy is ineffective. Disadvantaged children underachieve.
	Leaders' use of alternative provision is not in the best interests of pupils, and/or they have not taken all necessary steps to make sure that the provision is safe.
	Leaders fail to meet the statutory expectations of the SEN code of practice.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



# 9. Inclusion

9.2 Identifying and meeting needs, and removing barriers

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in inclusion, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders have established a culture in which all staff are highly vigilant in identifying pupils who may face barriers to their learning and/or well-being.
	Leaders have a keen understanding of the barriers that individual pupils face and tackle these swiftly and expertly. They systematically review adaptations, adjusting them as needed, so that they make a sustained difference to pupils' learning and/or well-being.



□ Secure	Leaders quickly and accurately identify pupils who face barriers to their learning or well-being that might make them vulnerable.  Leaders make sure that these pupils receive effective support, drawing on external specialists, as necessary.  Leaders make sure that any use of alternative provision is suitable, safe and in the best interests of pupils.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders' support for pupils who face barriers to their learning and/or well-being is not sufficiently precise, sustained or effective.
□ Causing concern	Inclusion is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:  Across the school's work, leaders fail to meet pupils' needs.  Inclusive practices do not ensure an acceptable standard of education and/or care for disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND.  Support for disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND is ineffective.  Leaders do not identify pupils who are disadvantaged or have SEND, or pupils who may face barriers to their learning and/or well-being.  Leaders' pupil premium strategy is ineffective. Disadvantaged children underachieve.  Leaders' use of alternative provision is not in the best interests of pupils, and/or they have not taken all necessary steps to make sure that the provision is safe.  Leaders fail to meet the statutory expectations of the SEN code of practice.



# Evidence and Reflection: Comments: Development Advice: Comments:



# 9. Inclusion

9.3 Supporting disadvantaged pupils

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in inclusion, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
☐ Strong	Leaders use a rich set of well-analysed quantitative and qualitative data to understand the needs of their disadvantaged pupils.
	Leaders continually monitor the progress of their pupil premium strategy and make helpful and proactive adaptations, as appropriate.
☐ Secure	Leaders have a secure understanding of their disadvantaged pupils' needs and use appropriate evidence to inform their pupil premium strategy, including when selecting approaches to take.



	Leaders understand how supporting disadvantaged pupils is central to, rather than separate from, their overarching strategic priorities.  Leaders make sure that the delivery of their pupil premium strategy is sustained and monitored effectively, including through ongoing training and support for staff.  The pupil premium strategy has a demonstrable positive impact on pupils' achievement and well-being.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders lack a precise understanding of their disadvantaged pupils' needs and/or do not use suitable evidence to inform their pupil premium strategy.  Leaders' pupil premium strategy is not well aligned to wider school development priorities, and staff are not clear about their roles.
	There are limited systems in place to monitor the progress of the pupil premium strategy or to make adaptations as appropriate.  Support for disadvantaged pupils is not sufficiently precise, sustained or effective.
☐ Causing concern	Inclusion is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:  Across the school's work, leaders fail to meet pupils' needs.  Inclusive practices do not ensure an acceptable standard of education and/or care for disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND.  Support for disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND is ineffective.  Leaders do not identify pupils who are disadvantaged or have SEND, or pupils who may face barriers to their learning and/or well-being.



	Leaders' pupil premium strategy is ineffective. Disadvantaged children underachieve.
	Leaders' use of alternative provision is not in the best interests of pupils, and/or they have not taken all necessary steps to make sure that the provision is safe.
	Leaders fail to meet the statutory expectations of the SEN code of practice.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



### 9. Inclusion

9.4 Supporting pupils with SEND	

☐ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in inclusion, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
☐ Strong	Leaders identify and meet the needs of pupils with SEND extremely well, using adaptations that help to ensure that these pupils benefit from high expectations.
	They have a keen understanding of the barriers that individual pupils face and tackle these expertly.
	They systematically review adaptations and monitor the progress of pupils with SEND, adjusting as needed.



☐ Secure	There is a qualified special educational needs coordinator with sufficient authority within the leadership structure to make a
	positive difference for pupils with SEND.
	Leaders quickly and accurately identify pupils' emerging or changing SEND. They make sure that pupils receive effective support, drawing on specialist guidance where necessary.
	An appropriate, graduated approach meets pupils' SEND effectively. Staff receive suitable training and support to implement the graduated approach well.
	Leaders make sure that their work is effective in improving pupils' achievement. They do not lower expectations for pupils with SEND.
	Leaders are committed to their role in the local area partnership's strategy to improve the experiences of, and outcomes for, pupils with SEND.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders do not assess pupils' needs well enough.
	Support for pupils with SEND is not sufficiently precise, sustained or effective.
	Expectations of pupils with SEND are too low.
☐ Causing concern	Inclusion is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	Across the school's work, leaders fail to meet pupils' needs.
	Inclusive practices do not ensure an acceptable standard of education and/or care for disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND.
	Support for disadvantaged pupils and/or those with SEND is ineffective.
	Leaders do not identify pupils who are disadvantaged or have SEND, or pupils who may face barriers to their learning and/or well-being.



	Leaders' pupil premium strategy is ineffective. Disadvantaged children underachieve.
	Leaders' use of alternative provision is not in the best interests of pupils, and/or they have not taken all necessary steps to make sure that the provision is safe.
	Leaders fail to meet the statutory expectations of the SEN code of practice.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



10.1 Leadership of the early years

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in early years, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders and staff from across the school understand the importance of the early years in laying the foundations for all future learning. This is reflected in whole-school decision-making and approaches to continuous improvement.
	Leaders and staff have fully integrated the early years into the life of the school so that there is a seamless transition as children progress through their early years and into key stage 1 and beyond.
☐ Secure	Leaders make sure that the statutory requirements of the EYFS are met in full. They have the same high expectations of



	children in the early years as they do across the rest of the school.
	Senior leaders make sure that the early years are prioritised, so that all children, especially those who are disadvantaged and/or those with SEND, get the best start in life.
	Leaders and staff work in close partnership with parents and carers, other settings, childminders and/or out-of-school provision to make sure that there is continuity of education and care for all children.
☐ Attention needed	Leaders have misunderstood or only partially applied the statutory requirements of the EYFS, but this does not have a significant impact on the safety and well-being and/or the learning and development of children.
	Children's learning, development and/or welfare and well-being are not identified and addressed consistently.
	Senior leaders recognise the importance of early years, but this is not reflected consistently in the decisions they make about curriculum design and/or ensuring that there are well-trained leaders and/or staff in early years.
☐ Causing concern	Early years is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have not met the statutory requirements of the early years foundation stage (EYFS) in full. This has a significant impact on the safety and well-being and/or learning and development of children.
	Leaders have low expectations of what children in the early years can and should achieve.
	The curriculum is poorly designed. It does not meet children's needs or provide the necessary foundations for future learning.
	Leaders or staff have a weak understanding of the areas of learning



# Evidence and Reflection: Comments: Development Advice: Comments:



10.2 Learning and development requirements

☐ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in early years, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	The curriculum is expertly designed and sets out, for each area of learning, the knowledge and skills that children need to secure in preparation for later learning.
	Staff have an expert knowledge of all areas of learning and the way in which young children learn. They put this knowledge into practice to enable children to achieve highly across all areas of learning.
	Staff's interactions with children are highly effective in improving children's communication and language and vocabulary. This is the case within and across all areas of



	learning, especially for disadvantaged children and/or those with SEND.
☐ Secure	The curriculum is planned and sequenced effectively to support all children's progression through the EYFS, appropriate to their age and stage of development.
	This includes frequent opportunities for children to practise and consolidate their learning and to develop their attention and sustained focus, so that they are ready for Year 1.
	The curriculum ensures that children acquire a wide vocabulary, communicate effectively and, in Reception, secure a knowledge of phonics, preparing the foundations for future learning.
	Staff engage in frequent, high-quality interactions with children to support their development and extend their language and vocabulary across all areas of learning.
	Staff present information clearly to children, check their understanding, and identify and address misconceptions, to improve children's learning.
	The school's curriculum for teaching systematic, synthetic phonics, early reading and handwriting is systematic and cumulative.
	The mathematics curriculum is sequenced well. It ensures that children have sufficient practice to be confident in using and understanding numbers, as well as the vocabulary to talk mathematically.
☐ Attention needed	Some aspects of the curriculum are not designed or implemented well enough to meet children's needs.
	The frequency and/or quality of interactions between staff and children do not support children's development well enough or meet their individual needs.
	Children are not supported to develop their communication and language and vocabulary consistently well.



☐ Causing concern	Early years is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have not met the statutory requirements of the early years foundation stage (EYFS) in full. This has a significant impact on the safety and well-being and/or learning and development of children.
	Leaders have low expectations of what children in the early years can and should achieve.
	The curriculum is poorly designed. It does not meet children's needs or provide the necessary foundations for future learning.
	Leaders or staff have a weak understanding of the areas of learning
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



10.3 Well-being and welfare requirements

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in early years, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Staff develop warm, positive and responsive relationships with children. This enables all children to thrive and develop the confidence and independence they will need for future learning.
☐ Secure	Care practices meet the needs of the range of children who attend.
	Staff, including a key person where required, develop positive relationships with children. This helps children to form secure attachments and supports their well-being and independence.



	Staff help children to understand, appropriate to their age and stage, how to make healthy choices to support their emotional and physical development.
	Staff support children to take well-managed risks to develop their resilience and understanding of personal safety.
☐ Attention needed	Relationships between staff and children are not consistent in promoting secure attachments, wellbeing and/or children's independence.
☐ Causing concern	Early years is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have not met the statutory requirements of the early years foundation stage (EYFS) in full. This has a significant impact on the safety and well-being and/or learning and development of children.
	Leaders have low expectations of what children in the early years can and should achieve.
	The curriculum is poorly designed. It does not meet children's needs or provide the necessary foundations for future learning.
	Leaders or staff have a weak understanding of the areas of learning
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	



Development Advice:					
Comments:					



10.4 Achievement and preparation for key stage 1

☐ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in early years, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Children develop a broad range of vocabulary and a detailed understanding within and across the seven areas of learning, in line with their age and stage of development.
	Children use their knowledge and skills confidently and with automaticity. They are exceptionally well prepared for the next stage of learning.
□ Secure	Children develop secure knowledge and skills across the seven areas of learning, appropriate to their age and stage of development and in line with the school's curriculum.



	Children with lower starting points, disadvantaged children and/or those with SEND achieve well.
	By the end of Reception, children are well prepared to reach a good level of development, paving the way for future learning in Year 1 and beyond.
☐ Attention needed	Children are not prepared well enough for the next stage in their learning. They do not develop knowledge and skills effectively across all seven areas of learning.
	The needs of disadvantaged children and/or those with SEND are not identified precisely and/or addressed with sufficient urgency to enable them to achieve well.
☐ Causing concern	Early years is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	Leaders have not met the statutory requirements of the early years foundation stage (EYFS) in full. This has a significant impact on the safety and well-being and/or learning and development of children.
	Leaders have low expectations of what children in the early years can and should achieve.
	The curriculum is poorly designed. It does not meet children's needs or provide the necessary foundations for future learning.
	Leaders or staff have a weak understanding of the areas of learning
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	



Development Ad	dvice:			
Comments:				



11.1 Strategic leadership of the 16 to 19 study programmes

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in sixth form, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel. This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders have an accurate understanding of the cohort of post-16 students and have designed a study programme that is inclusive and enables all students to achieve well.
☐ Secure	Leaders have made sure that the 16 to 19 study programme is designed to meet the students' academic needs, career aspirations and context.
	Barriers to learning for students, including those who are disadvantaged or who have SEND, are considered and play an important role in the programme design.



☐ Attention needed	Leaders have not made sure that the 16 to 19 study programme sufficiently meets students' academic needs, career aspirations and context.
☐ Causing concern	Sixth form is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	The design, content, sequencing and/or teaching of the curriculum does not provide adequately for all students.
	Students' achievement is significantly lower than national averages.
	Disadvantaged students and those with SEND do not make enough progress. Students have not attained the qualifications, skills or behaviours that they will need to progress to their next stage of education, training or employment.
	The curriculum does not prepare students for the opportunities, responsibilities and experiences of life in Britain.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



11.2 Achievement on the 16 to 19 study programmes

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in sixth form, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Students' achievement is significantly higher than national averages, across all qualification types.
	Retention on courses is significantly above national averages.
☐ Secure	Leaders made sure that students attain well and make good progress from their starting points.
	Students without at least a GCSE grade 4 in English and mathematics, gain the relevant qualification in these subjects.



	All students receive high-quality, impartial advice and guidance that ensures that they go on to appropriate education, employment or training.
	Retention on courses is at least in line with national averages.
☐ Attention needed	Students do not achieve well when compared with national averages, but there is strong evidence that this is improving.
	Retention on courses is below national averages, but there is strong evidence of improvement.
☐ Causing concern	Sixth form is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	The design, content, sequencing and/or teaching of the curriculum does not provide adequately for all students.
	Students' achievement is significantly lower than national averages.
	Disadvantaged students and those with SEND do not make enough progress. Students have not attained the qualifications, skills or behaviours that they will need to progress to their next stage of education, training or employment.
	The curriculum does not prepare students for the opportunities, responsibilities and experiences of life in Britain.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	



Development Advice:				
Comments:				



11.3 Learners' preparedness for their next steps

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in sixth form, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
□ Strong	Leaders have established a comprehensive, purposeful programme of work-related learning. This is closely aligned to each student's individual needs and career aspirations. All students benefit from this.
□ Secure	Leaders have planned a relevant programme of work-related learning that meets the requirements of the 16 to 19 study programme. This takes account of students' needs and career aspirations.
	Leaders have established effective partnerships with local employers and higher education institutions to fulfil this.



☐ Attention needed	Leaders have planned opportunities for students to benefit from work-related learning. However, these opportunities are not high quality and/or lack relevance for many students.
☐ Causing concern	Sixth form is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	The design, content, sequencing and/or teaching of the curriculum does not provide adequately for all students.
	Students' achievement is significantly lower than national averages.
	Disadvantaged students and those with SEND do not make enough progress. Students have not attained the qualifications, skills or behaviours that they will need to progress to their next stage of education, training or employment.
	The curriculum does not prepare students for the opportunities, responsibilities and experiences of life in Britain.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



11.4 Wider opportunities	

□ Exemplary	Where a school is secure in all evaluation areas, and strong across all the themes in sixth form, inspectors may consider a feature of leaders' work to be exemplary.
	All considerations of exemplary practice will be moderated and confirmed by the national quality and consistency panel.  This will include whether the practice is:
	<ul> <li>embedded and sustained over time</li> <li>making a tangible difference to pupils' learning, development and well-being</li> <li>being used and/or adapted internally to support and improve other areas of the school's work</li> <li>being (or will be) shared externally to support system improvement.</li> </ul>
	Once the provisional grades are confirmed and the school's report card is published, inspectors will invite leaders to submit a short case study of their work to the Ofsted Academy. We will use a panel of experts to review these case studies nationally.
	Successful submissions will be published as part of a series of best practice reporting.
☐ Strong	Leaders have designed a comprehensive, purposeful range of high-quality opportunities that prepare students for adult life. All students benefit from these opportunities.
□ Secure	Leaders have an accurate understanding of the students' needs. They have designed a suitable range of wider opportunities that prepare students for adult life, such as citizenship programmes, volunteering and opportunities outside their taught courses, such as those related to developing leadership qualities.



☐ Attention needed	Leaders have designed a suitable range of wider opportunities to prepare students for adult life. However, these opportunities are not closely aligned to students' needs and aspirations.
☐ Causing concern	Sixth form is likely to be 'causing concern' if any one or more of the following applies:
	The design, content, sequencing and/or teaching of the curriculum does not provide adequately for all students.
	Students' achievement is significantly lower than national averages.
	Disadvantaged students and those with SEND do not make enough progress. Students have not attained the qualifications, skills or behaviours that they will need to progress to their next stage of education, training or employment.
	The curriculum does not prepare students for the opportunities, responsibilities and experiences of life in Britain.
Evidence and Reflection:	
Comments:	
Development Advice:	
Comments:	



#### 12. Safeguarding

All schools should have an open and positive safeguarding culture that promotes the welfare of and puts pupils' interests first. Leaders must ensure they follow the Department for Education's latest statutory guidance in 'Working together to safeguard children' and 'Keeping children safe in education'.

#### This means schools:

- protect pupils from maltreatment and harm, whether it is within or outside the home, including online. They are vigilant, maintaining an attitude of 'it could happen here'
- act as a safeguarding partner in line with 'Working together to safeguard children'
- are open and transparent, sharing appropriate information with others and actively seeking expert advice when required, making sure all safeguarding decisions are accessible for appropriate scrutiny, accepting of challenge to ensure the right decisions are made, and recognising that safeguarding issues might occur in any provision at any time to ensure that all those who work with pupils are trained well in their responsibility to pupils to support them to be safer and are empowered to speak out and act upon where there may be concerns
- recognise children missing education can act as a vital warning sign to a range of safeguarding issues including neglect, sexual abuse and child sexual and criminal exploitation
- actively seek and listen to the views and experiences of pupils, staff and parents, taking prompt action to deal with any concerns
- have appropriate safeguarding and child protection arrangements, which:
  - o identify pupils who may need early help and be a partner in multi-agency working
  - identify pupils and who are at risk of harm or who have been harmed. This
    can include, but is not limited to, neglect, abuse (including by their peers),
    grooming, exploitation, sexual abuse and online harm
  - secure the help that pupils need and, if required, refer in a timely way to those who have the expertise to help
  - manage safe recruitment and allegations about adults who may pose a risk to pupils
  - take into account the additional safeguarding challenges that pupils, including disabled pupils, those with SEND and those who are nonverbal, may face.
- are receptive to challenge, and reflect on their own practice, to ensure that the impact of safeguarding policies, systems and processes are kept under continual review
- must maintain, if they are maintained schools, a single central record of pre-appointment checks, in paper or electronic form



must maintain, if they are academies, a single central record, in paper or electronic
form, that details the checks carried out in each academy within the trust. There is
no requirement to maintain an individual record for each academy, but the
information should be recorded in such a way that the details about individual
academies can be provided separately.

Culture			
□ Not met	☐ Met		
Leaders and/or those responsible for governance have not ensured a culture in which safeguarding is everyone's responsibility and pupils are kept safe and feel safe.	Leaders and/or those responsible for governance have established a culture in which safeguarding is everyone's responsibility and pupils are kept safe and feel safe.		
There is a closed culture. Leaders are not open to challenge and/or do not learn from issues or incidents.	All staff are vigilant and carry out their responsibilities effectively in keeping pupils safe.		
Pupils have little confidence that the school will tackle concerns about safety, including risk of abuse, because leaders have not taken their views seriously and/or dealt with relevant concerns.	Leaders, staff and those responsible for governance are receptive to challenge and are reflective about their own practices. This means that the impact of safeguarding policies, systems and processes is kept under continual review.		
Safeguarding information for all staff to know and act on			
□ Not met	☐ Met		
Leaders and/or those responsible for safeguarding do not fulfil their responsibilities in relation to safeguarding information for staff, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part one of 'Keeping children safe in education'.	Leaders, staff and those responsible for governance know and fulfil the statutory requirements for safeguarding.  Staff understand the indicators of possible safeguarding concerns and follow the school's systems confidently and consistently.		
Leaders, staff and/or those responsible for governance do not know and/or fulfil their roles in safeguarding pupils.			



Serious failings in safeguarding practice leads pupils, or particular groups of pupils, to be unsafe.				
Management of safeguarding				
□ Not met	☐ Met			
Leaders and those responsible for governance do not fulfil their responsibilities in relation to management of safeguarding, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part two of 'Keeping children safe in education'.  Leaders and those responsible for governance do not fulfil their responsibilities under the 'Prevent' duty.  Those responsible for governance do not exercise strategic oversight of all aspects of safeguarding and promoting the welfare of pupils.	Leaders and those responsible for governance fulfil their responsibilities in relation to management of safeguarding, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part two of 'Keeping children safe in education'.  Leaders fulfil their responsibilities under the 'Prevent' duty.			
Safer red	cruitment			
☐ Not met	☐ Met			
Leaders and those responsible for governance do not fulfil their responsibilities in relation to safer recruitment, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part three of 'Keeping children safe in education'.	Leaders and those responsible for governance fulfil their responsibilities in relation to safer recruitment, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part three of 'Keeping children safe in education', including alternative provision, host family arrangements and private fostering.			
Statutory requirements are not met. For example, there are breaches of the requirements of the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS).	Leaders and those responsible for governance have rectified minor safeguarding issues identified during the inspection and/or are taking steps to resolve them. Pupils are kept safe and feel safe.			



Safeguarding concerns or allegations			
□ Not met	☐ Met		
Leaders and those responsible for governance are not fulfilling their responsibilities in relation to reporting, referrals and record-keeping, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part four of 'Keeping children safe in education'.  Leaders and those responsible for	Leaders and those responsible for governance are fulfilling their responsibilities in relation to reporting, referrals and record-keeping, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part four of 'Keeping children safe in education'.		
governance do not handle safeguarding allegations against adults appropriately.			
The school is not aware of its duty in relation to referrals to the DBS.			
Child-on-child sexual viole	nce and sexual harassment		
□ Not met	☐ Met		
Leaders and those responsible for governance do not fulfil their responsibilities in relation to child-on-child sexual violence and sexual harassment, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part five of 'Keeping children safe in education'.  Leaders do not handle allegations of sexual abuse swiftly and appropriately. This is likely to lead to pupils being put at risk of significant harm.	Leaders and those responsible for safeguarding fulfil their responsibilities in relation to child-on-child sexual violence and sexual harassment, as set out in 'Working together to safeguard children' and part five of 'Keeping children safe in education'.		
Evidence and Reflection:			
Comments:			



Development Advice:		
Comments:		